

# When Science and Citizens Connect: Public Engagement on Genetically Modified Organisms

*A Workshop of the Roundtable on Public Interfaces of the Life Sciences*

## Suggested Reading\*

\*For information to prepare for the Breakout Sessions please visit [Breakout Session Material](#).

## Session 1:

Fischhoff B. The sciences of science communication. PNAS 2013 Aug 20, v10, sup 3: 14033-14039  
[http://www.pnas.org/content/110/Supplement\\_3/14033.full.pdf+html](http://www.pnas.org/content/110/Supplement_3/14033.full.pdf+html)

Fischhoff B and Scheufele DA. The Science of Science Communication II. PNAS 2014 Sep 16, v111, sup 4:13583-13584 [http://www.pnas.org/content/111/Supplement\\_4/13583.full.pdf+html](http://www.pnas.org/content/111/Supplement_4/13583.full.pdf+html)

Kahan DM. Social science A risky science communication environment for vaccines. Science. 2013 Oct 4;342(6154):53-4 <http://tinyurl.com/olzdz7jw>

Kahan, D.M. (2010). Fixing the communications failure. Nature, 463(7279), 296-297.  
<http://tinyurl.com/pn5s5fy>

Kahan, D. M., Peters, E., Wittlin, M., Slovic, P., Ouellette, L. L., Braman, D., & Mandel, G. (2012). The polarizing impact of science literacy and numeracy on perceived climate change risks. Nature Climate Change, 2(10), 732-735. <http://tinyurl.com/p6jhsu9>

Nisbet MC, Scheufele DA. What's next for science communication? Promising directions and lingering distractions. Am J Bot. 2009 Oct;96(10):1767-78. <http://www.amjbot.org/content/96/10/1767.short>

Phillips, D. M., & Hallman, W. K. (2013). Consumer risk perceptions and marketing strategy: The case of genetically modified food. Psychology & Marketing, 30(9), 739-748.  
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mar.20642/abstract>

Pielke Jr., R. (2014, December). Pielke's Perspective: The Future of Science Advice in Europe. Retrieved January 9, 2015. <http://ostaustria.org/bridges-magazine/item/8316-pielkes-perspective>

Pielke Jr., R. (2014, July 1). Science advisers should be supported, not sacked. Retrieved January 9, 2015. <http://www.theguardian.com/science/political-science/2014/jul/24/science-advisers-coalition-environment-ngo-europe>

Pielke, R. A. (2002). Science policy: Policy, politics and perspective. *Nature*, 416(6879), 367-368.  
<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v416/n6879/full/416367a.html>

Shanahan J., Scheufele, D., Lee, E. The Polls-Trends: Attitudes about Agricultural Biotechnology and Genetically Modified Organisms. *Public Opin Q.* 2001 Summer; 65(2):267-81.  
[http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078805?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078805?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

## Session 2:

Brossard, D., & Shanahan J. (2007). Perspectives on communication about agricultural biotechnology. In D. Brossard, J. Shanahan & T.C. Nesbitt (Eds). *The Public, the Media, and Agricultural Biotechnology* (pp. 3-20). Cambridge, MA: Oxford University Press.  
[https://books.google.com/books?id=yJgHhMTA\\_IIC&lpg=PA3&ots=mbhnsq3uB4&dq=Perspectives%20on%20Communication%201%20about%20Agricultural%20Biotechnology&pg=PA3#v=onepage&q=Perspectives%20on%20Communication%201%20about%20Agricultural%20Biotechnology&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?id=yJgHhMTA_IIC&lpg=PA3&ots=mbhnsq3uB4&dq=Perspectives%20on%20Communication%201%20about%20Agricultural%20Biotechnology&pg=PA3#v=onepage&q=Perspectives%20on%20Communication%201%20about%20Agricultural%20Biotechnology&f=false)

Brossard, D. (2012). Social challenges: Public opinion and agricultural biotechnology. In J. Popp, M. Jahn, M. Matlock & N. Kemper (Eds.), *The Role of Biotechnology in a Sustainable Food Supply*. New York: Cambridge University Press.  
[http://books.google.com/books?id=P\\_ALYIO5ZXEC&lpg=PP1&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false](http://books.google.com/books?id=P_ALYIO5ZXEC&lpg=PP1&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false)

Brossard, D., & Nisbet, M. C. (2007). Deference to scientific authority among a low information public: Understanding US opinion on agricultural biotechnology. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 19(1), 24-52. <http://ijpor.oxfordjournals.org/content/19/1/24.short>

Brossard, D., & Shanahan, J. (2003). Do citizens want to have their say? Media, agricultural biotechnology, and authoritarian views of democratic processes in science. *Mass Communication and Society*, 6(3), 291-312.  
[http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/S15327825MCS0603\\_4#.VJnWXv8d7A](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/S15327825MCS0603_4#.VJnWXv8d7A)

Van Eenennaam et al. (2014). The Potential Impacts of Mandatory Labeling for Genetically Engineered Food in the United States. Issue Paper 54. CAST, Ames, Iowa.  
<http://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF14/20141210/102797/HHRG-113-IF14-Wstate-VanEenennaamA-20141210-SD001.pdf>

Delborne, J. A. (2011). Constructing audiences in scientific controversy. *Social Epistemology*, 25(1), 67-95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02691728.2010.534565>

Kleinman, D. L., Delborne, J. A., & Anderson, A. A. (2009). Engaging citizens: The high cost of citizen participation in high technology. *Public Understanding of Science*.  
<http://pus.sagepub.com/content/20/2/221>